

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

COMA No. 1 of 2018

Reserve Bank Of India **Versus** Samruddhi Saving And Investment (I)

22/11/2018	Shri Manaynath Thakur, counsel for the appellant. The notice publication made in the newspaper as directed by this Court earlier, towards service of notice on respondent by substituted mode, has been placed on record along with affidavit. The same was perused. We are satisfied that notice by substituted mode has been served on the respondent but despite such service, no one has entered appearance for the respondent. Therefore, respondent is proceeded ex-parte. Heard on IA No.1, application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, for condonation of delay in filing the appeal. This appeal has been filed after delay of 137 days. In the application seeking condonation of delay filed by the appellant, the cause shown for such delay appears to be bonafide because after receipt of impugned order by the appellant-Institution, the matter was taken up and the delay appears to have been caused because of inhouse business and the time taken in transacting official business. The cause of delay, therefore, appears to be bonafide . Accordingly, the application is allowed. Delay in filing the appeal is condoned. Appeal is admitted for hearing. Issue notice to the respondent of admission of appeal, on

payment of PF within a week, returnable in four weeks.

List it after four weeks.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge

Sd/-
(Gautam Chourdiya)
Judge

Praveen