

Case No. 1/2018
PS: Mianwali Nagar
Sonakshi yadav Vs. Nitin Yadav

17.01.2018

Present: Petitioner with counsel Sh. Sanjeev Sahey.

Respondent no. 1 with counsel Sh. C.P.Malik.

Respondent no.2 & 3 with counsel Sh. Mohit Ramdev.

DIR has been received and in view of the same cognizance is taken against respondent no.2 & 3 as well. Respondent no. 2 & 3 are present in the court and they accept notice of the present case. Reply has been filed on behalf of respondent no.1 and respondent no.2 & 3 . Copies supplied.

Perusal of the record shows that an application has been filed by respondent no. 3 under section 25(2) and 28(2) of the Act. Copy is stated to have been supplied. Counsel for petitioner seeks some time for going through the reply filed today.

Put up for filing of rejoinder, if any/ reply and arguments on application of respondent no.3 under section 25 and 28 of the Act .

At this stage counsel for petitioner has prayed that interim protection may be continued. Counsel for respondent no.3 strongly object to the same on the ground that petitioner has already been evicted from the house no.14, Behra Enclave, Paschim Vihar, New Delhi in execution of order of Id. Civil Judge, dated 11.12.17.

Perusal of record shows that vide order dated 06.01.2018, Ld.Appellate Court has extended interim relief granted to petitioner on 27.12.2017 till the disposal of the application under section 25 of the Act. In view of the same, interim protection is extended till next date of hearing only. Put up for arguments on application of respondent no. 1 on 03.02.2018.

(Swati Katiyar)
MM(Mahila Court-04)
West/ THC/Delhi
17.01.2018