Usha Chawla v. Rohit & anr.

CNR No. HRRH01-000653 of 2018.

Rent Appeal No. 5 of 2018

Present: Ms. Shashi Aggarwal, counsel for the appellant.

Sh. Deepak Sethi, counsel for the respondents 1 & 2.

Heard on the application for condonation of delay and records of the

case perused.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that her previous

counsel did not inform her about the passing of the impugned order and she came

to know of it only when she received copy of the order on January 17, 2018.

Though no reply to the application has been filed by the respondents,

it has been opposed on the plea that it is merely a delaying tactic and that the

applicant has not explained the delay.

3. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances, as also

the fact that the applicant is a widow, who is not highly educated, this Court is

inclined to take a lenient view in the matter. Even otherwise, it is always in the

larger interest of justice to dispose the matters on merits rather than declining the

matter on mere technicalities. Therefore, the delay in filing the appeal stands

condoned and the **application for condonation of delay** in filing this appeal is

allowed.

Arguments heard. Vide a separate judgment of even date, the appeal

has been dismissed. Memo of costs be prepared accordingly. A copy of this

judgment be placed on Lower Court Record and the same be returned. Consign the

appeal file to records.

Announced in open Court.

20th day of February, 2018

(Ritu Y.K. Behl), Appellate Authority, Rohtak.20.02.2018

(UID No. HR0084)

Asha Rani

Stenographer Gr.I