O.S No. 1/2018.
P.W. 2

Witness Duly sworn on 05-10-2018

Cross Examination of P.W.2 by Sri. A.N.D Advocate for defendant.

Plaintiff No.1 and 2 are my aunt. I can read English. I do not know who were the previous owners of suit property. I do not know in which date and year suit property is purchased by plaintiffs. I was not present at the time of purchase. I know the number of suit property i.e., 27-138/2D, 27-138/2D/2. Plaintiff no.1 and 2 are the owners of suit property bearing No. 27-138/2D. I do not remember the measurement of property no. 27-138/2D.

I do not know the measurement of property No. 27/138/2D/2. I have seen the documents and records in respect of suit properties. I do not know the land sy.no. On which suit properties are situated. I do not know about the N.A layout of suit properties.

I do not remember the date of application. It is false to suggest that, defendant had informed plaintiffs that permission can not be granted because suit properties are situated on government land bearing sy.no. 154, measuring 3 acres of Basavakalyan. It is false to suggest that, inspite of rejection of permission, plaintiffs illegally constructed building. It is false to suggest that, building constructed by plaintiffs is without permission, witness volunteers plaintiffs had applied for permission but for 1 year nothing was communicated to plaintiffs about application.

It is false to suggest that, plaintiffs had not submitted the required documents for obtaining permission. It is false to suggest that, the plots of both plaintiffs are separate.

I can not say the measurement of plot of plaintiff no.1 because both plots are joint. It is false to suggest that, the building constructed by plaintiffs is against to the Town planing rules. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely to help plaintiffs.

ROI & AC.

Re-Exam-Nil.
(Types to my dictation
in the Open Court). Civil Judge, Basavakalyan.

O.S No. 1/2018.
P.W. 2