## ORDER PASSED BELOW EXH.1 IN CIVIL APPLN. NO. 01/2018. (CNR No.MHOS09-000575-2018)

## Shivaji Deshmukh Vs. Dashrath Deshmukh & Ors.

- 1. The applicant has filed this application, praying that he be permitted to amend the compromise pursis in R.C.S. No. 219/2017.
- 2. By this application, the applicant has contended that R.C.S. No.219/2017 was decreed on dated 18/02/2017 as the compromise did take place therein. He has further contended that R.C.S. No. 211/2017 in lieu of R.C.S. No. 219/2017 was inadvertently written on the compromise pursis filed in R.C.S. No. 219/2017. With this, the applicant has prayed that this application be allowed.
- 3. Non-applicants appeared in the application. Non-applicant Nos.1 & 3 have filed their say at Exh.14 & however, non-applicant No.2 has filed his say at Exh.9, stating that they have no objection to allow this application.
- 4. I have heard Ld. Advocate Mr. Jadhavar for the applicant, & Ld. Advocate Mr. Gavali for non-applicants at considerable length & have gone through the record.
- 5. Upon perusal of the record & proceeding of R.C.S. No.219/2017, it is seen that the compromise pursis Exh.19 filed therein bears R.C.S. No.211/2017.

- 6. A profitable reference may be made of the judgment delivered in the case of Vasant Laxman Deshmukh Vs. Sakharam Limbaji Jadhav, decided on 16th April, 1982, wherein it has been held by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court that 'where the parties to the suit are definite about the disputed property & there is no dispute as to the identity of the property between the parties, and some mistake is committed in the description of the property & the parties litigating in the Court knowing that the dispute is in respect of a definite & undisputed identity of the property; in such a case, it cannot be said that it is an amendment of pleadings of the parties, but it is only a clerical mistake in describing the said property & such a mistake between the parties can be corrected while exercising the powers u/ss. 152 & 153 of the C.P.C.'
- 7. Having given my considerable thoughts to arguments canvassed by Ld. Advocates for parties to this application & taking into consideration the ratio laid down in the case law cited *supra*, I have no hindrance to hold that this application deserves to be allowed. Holding so, I proceed to pass the following order.

## **ORDER**

- 1. Application is allowed.
- 2. The applicant is hereby directed to correct the number of the suit as R.C.S. No.219/2017 instead of R.C.S.

Civil Application No.1/2018. CNR No.MHOS09-000575-2018.

No.211/2017 appearing on the compromise pursis Exh.19 filed in R.C.S. No.219/2017.

- 3. Parties to the application shall bear their own costs.
- 4. After carrying out necessary correction in the compromise pursis Exh.19 filed in R.C.S. No.219/2017, the record & proceeding thereof be sent to the Central Record Room, District Court, Osmanabad.
- 5. Parties to the application shall take note of this order. (Dictated & pronounced in the open Court).

Kallam. Date-13/04/2018. Sd/--(S.S.Ubale), 2<sup>nd</sup> Jt. Civil Judge, Jr.Dn., Kallam.