

**IN THE COURT OF Ms. AMANDEEP KAMBOJ PCS, SUB
DIVISIONAL JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE GARHSHANKAR**

**F.I.R No.209, Dated.14.12.2017
U/S 379,411 IPC
P.S Garhshankar**

State Vs. Jagga

BAIL APPLICATION

Present: Sh. Nitin Berry Ld. APP for State
Sh. Harvinder Pal, Adv. Counsel for applicant/accused
Jagga and Guggu @ Jasvir

1. Notice of the application was issued to the State, however no written reply has been filed by the Ld. APP for State. However, Ld. APP for State orally contested the application.
2. Heard. Ld. Counsel for applicants/accused submitted that the accused have been falsely implicated in this case and accused are not included in FIR and the accused have no knowledge regarding the said offence. Further, it was submitted that the accused are in custody since 16.12.2017. Conclusion of trial will take time and no useful purpose would be served by detaining the accused behind bars. With this submission Ld. Counsel prayed that applicant/accused be released on bail.
3. Par contra Ld.APP for State argued that case against the accused is registered for commission of a grave offence and if they are released on bail, They are likely abscond and to interfere in investigation. With this Ld. APP for State prayed that the application be dismissed.
4. I have heard the rival contention. Record reveals that accused Jagga and Guggu @ Jasvir are in custody since 16.12.2017. The F.I.R has been registered U/S 379,411 IPC. The fact that the accused are in judicial custody goes to show that he is not required by the police for

further investigation. Presentation of challan, conclusion of trial will take time and accused cannot be detained for an indefinite time. No useful purpose would be served by detaining the accused behind bars. As such, applicant/accused Jagga and Guggu @ Jasvir are admitted to bail subject to furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- each with one surety each in the like amount, subject to following conditions:-

1. The accused shall appear in the court on each and every date of hearing during trial without fail;
2. The accused shall not absent himself during trial of the present case;
3. The accused shall not leave India that prior permission of the court.

5. Requisite bail bonds and surety bonds not furnished. Papers be tagged with main file/remand papers.

(Amandeep Kamboj)
SDJM, Duty Magistrate
Garhshankar

Pronounced :
Date of order: 05.1.2018
Typed by: Steno Vijay Kumar
Next date:
Purpose:

State Vs Harpreet Singh

Present : APP for the State Sh. Pawan Preet Singh
Accused on bail with counsel.

Accused placed on record copy of order dated 28.4.2017 passed by Hon'ble High Court. Above said order has been got confirmed by the Reader of this court and the same perused, in which, Hon'ble High Court has quashed the proceedings of the present FIR No.92 dated 11.08.2014, U/S 498-A and 323 read with Section 34 IPC, P.S Garhshankar, along with the all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same. In these circumstances, necessary intimation be sent to the SHO of police station concerned, in this regard. File be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced : 12.5.2017

Vijay Kumar
Judl. Magistrate,

(Amandeep Kamboj)
Sub Divisional
Garhshankar.
UID No. PB0351

State Vs. Jagjit Singh etc
17.2.2016

FIR No.22 Dated.

U/S

307,326,324,323,148,506,149 IPC

P.S Garhshankar

Present : APP for State Sh. Pawan Preet Singh
Accused Jagjit Singh @Jeeti who is on bail absent but
represented by Sh. Harpreet Singh Adv.
Accused Lakhwinder Singh on bail with Sh. Khanpuri
Adv.
Accused Davinder Ram produced in custody in this
case
Accused Manjit Ram and Amandeep absent

Sh. Harpreet Singh advocate moved an application for
seeking exemption of accused Jagjit Singh@Jeeti for personal
appearance for today only. Heard. In view of averments of the
application personal appearance of accused Jagjit Singh@Jeeti
exempted for today only.

. Accused Davinder Ram produced by the jail authorities.
He be again produced by the jail authorities for 30.5.2017.

Record reveal that proclamation U/S 82 Cr.PC again
accused Manjit
Ram and Amandeep was duly effected as per report dated 18.4.2017.
Statement of serving constable recorded. Stipulated period has not
expired. Appearance of accused Manjit Ram and Amandeep be
awaited for 30.5.2017

(Amandeep
Kamboj)
(SDJM),
Garhshankar.

UID

No.PB0351

Dated: 17.5.2017

Vijay Kumar

Next Date: 30.5.2017

Purpose: