Prob 01-2018 Harjit Singh versus General Public

Present:- Sh.R.S Dhalwial, Advocate counsel for petitioner.

Respondent no.1 General Public exparte.

Sh.Arun Tayal, Advocate counsel for respondents no.2 to 4. Sh.R.P.S Bhullar, Advocate counsel for respondent no.5.

Heard on the application for directing respondent no.2 to produce the original will bearing vasika no.225 dated 12.06.2014 executed by Jagir Singh.

- 2. Learned counsel for applicant/petitioner has argued that original will bearing vasika no.225 dated 12.06.2014 is in possession of respondent no.2 who is none else than daughter in law of Jagir Singh being widow of his deceased son. Thus, he prayed for production of said registered document.
- 3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondent nos.2 to 4 has contended that no such Will has ever been executed by Jagir Singh in favour of applicant/petitioner and other persons. The copy of the alleged Will dated 12.06.2014 proved by the applicant is forged and fabricated document. Jagir Singh never executed any such document during his life time. Deceased Jagir Singh had already transferred his house bearing no.844 in favour of respondents no.3 and 4 vide vasika no.3264 dated 01.11.2017. Moreover, the applicant/petitioner never looked after deceased Jagir Singh during his life time and for the last 15 years she was residing with them. Hence, prayed for dismissal of the application.
- 4. The application moved is with regard to production of original Will dated 12.06.2014 executed by Jagir Singh but the possession of the same has been denied by respondent no.2. When the same is not in possession of respondent no.2 but its production has been sought from the custody of respondent no.2, the application in hand is disposed of in view of reply.
- 5. Now to come up on 16.10.2019 for evidence of the petitioner.

Sd/--(Sonia Kinra), Addl. District Judge, Moga. (UID No.PB-0176)

Date of order:23.09.2019 (Ravneet Kaur, Stenographer G-III)