

\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 263/2019**

DHARMENDRA SHOKIA @ SOKIN Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ravish Roshan, Mr. Pravesh
Chowdhary and Mr. Kumar Nikhil,
Advs.

versus

STATE Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Chadha, APP for State with
Insp. Rajeev Kr. Vats, P.S. Civil
Lines.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

01.02.2019

It is not in dispute that petitioner was not identified by the eye witness in TIP proceedings, held after the arrest of petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been identified by the eye witness PW2 Ashok Chabra in Court after more than one year at the instance of police.

Learned APP opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner. It is contended that out of the total robbed amount ₹1.20 lacs was recovered from the possession of petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no TIP of the amount, allegedly recovered from the petitioner, was conducted. Petitioner is in custody for more than one and a half years. Eye witness has already been

examined. Therefore, petitioner may be admitted to bail.

Keeping in mind the totality of the facts and circumstances of this case, petitioner is admitted to bail subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Bail application is disposed of in the above terms. Miscellaneous application is disposed of as infructuous.

Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

FEBRUARY 01, 2019

ga