



AI Document Review by LegalKart AI

Generated Document Analysis Summary

Document 1: WB129015229309.pdf

This document is a comprehensive Agreement for Sale executed between Kanha Buildspaces LLP (Owner/Promoter) and Vikas Shivaji Shitole (Allottee) regarding the sale of a residential apartment in "The Lords" project at Pune. The agreement outlines terms for the construction, sale, payment schedule, responsibilities, and obligations of both parties with respect to the apartment.

Key Clauses or Issues Identified:

1. *Parties and Property Description:* The agreement includes a detailed description of both parties involved and the property being sold, including specific measurements, location, and plans for development.
2. *Payment Terms:* The document specifies the total consideration, advance payment, installment schedule, and conditions under which additional costs could be incurred.
3. *Possession and Structural Defects:* The provisions for handing over possession within a specified timeline and the liability of the promoter to rectify structural defects within five years post-handover are outlined.
4. *Termination and Refunds:* Terms under which the agreement may be terminated, including conditions for refunds and penalties for delays by either party, are clearly mentioned.

Missing or Risky Elements:

- *Force Majeure Detailed Provisions:* Although defined, the practical implications of force majeure need clearer articulation, especially regarding extended building delays.
- *Dispute Resolution Mechanism:* The agreement defaults disputes to RERA but lacks a provision for alternative mechanisms like arbitration or mediation before escalating to RERA.

Ambiguous or Weak Language:

- The language regarding the use of amenities and common areas is vague, lacking clarity on maintenance responsibility transitions from builder to society.
- Conditions under which rebates for early payments could be revised or withdrawn are not specified clearly.

Suggestions for Improvement:

1. *Clarification on Future Development Rights:* Include detailed rights concerning future use of FSI and development rights that might affect the Allottee's property.
2. *Explicit Maintenance Terms:* Define transition timelines and responsibilities for maintenance of common areas once the building is handed over, to avoid future disputes.

Disadvantaged Party:

The Allottee may be potentially disadvantaged due to the broad discretion allowed to the Owner/Promoter in adjusting plans or specifications and force majeure provisions that could justify various delays.

Overall Risk Score:

Moderate — The agreement provides comprehensive details, but there are notable ambiguities and areas lacking clarity, especially concerning future rights and transitional responsibilities for common areas.

Final Recommendations:

- Review and clarify the force majeure clauses to ensure they do not unduly delay project completion without scrutiny.
- Specify conditions under which the maintenance responsibilities will shift from the promoter to the resident society.
- Consider including an alternative dispute resolution clause to potentially resolve disputes more efficiently outside of RERA.
- Review ongoing financial obligations for the Allottee related to common areas and amenities, ensuring transparency in possible future charges.

Disclaimer: This document has been reviewed using **Legalkart's AI** trained on millions of legal documents. While it provides an intelligent preliminary review, it may not be 100% accurate or legally exhaustive. For complete clarity and professional assurance, we recommend a **Real Lawyer Review** — where an expert lawyer will personally explain and verify your document.

Try now at <https://www.legalkart.com/lk-ai-document-generator?type=JCDRC>